#### Evolution of Drinking Water Analysis During EPA's First 50 Years

William A. Adams US Environmental Protection Agency Office of Groundwater and Drinking Water Standards and Risk Management Division Technical Support Center Cincinnati, Ohio



#### Disclaimer

The information in this presentation has been reviewed and approved for public dissemination in accordance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of the Agency. Any mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute EPA endorsement or recommendation for use.



#### Pre-EPA

- Prior to late 1800's, drinking water treatment focused on taste/odor, not illness
- Early 1900's recognition of link between waterborne illnesses (e.g., cholera and typhoid fever) and contaminated water supplies
- Treatment initiated at state/local levels
  - Water supply pollution control
  - Filtration/disinfection



#### **Pre-EPA: U.S. Public Health Service**

- First federal drinking water standards adopted in 1914
  - Only applied to interstate carriers
  - Bacteriological quality standards
- 1925 standards addressed some physical and chemical parameters (Pb, Cu, TDS)
- By 1960's greater interest in coordination at federal level
  - Industrial production/agricultural activities and more contamination of source waters

#### **U.S. EPA Established**

- 1970
- Independent agency
  - Federal research
  - Monitoring
  - Setting standards
  - Enforcement
- Public Health Service drinking water program merged into EPA



#### **Drinking Water Quality Survey**

- Conducted in the early 1970s
  - contamination on a national scale, particularly with synthetic organic chemicals.
- Prompted Congress to enact the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA)



#### Safe Drinking Water Act

- July 10, 1974: Safe Drinking Water Act
  - Purpose: Assure that water supply systems serving the public meet minimum national standards for protection of public health
    - Authorizes EPA to set federal enforceable health standards for contaminants that apply to all public water systems
      - PWS defined as serving at least 25 people or with at least 15 service connections at least 60 days/year
    - Establishes a joint Federal-State system for assuring compliance (primacy)
  - Amended in 1977, 1979, 1980, 1986, 1996 (reauthorized and amended), 2018, 2019

10/31/2020

# THE STATES TO BE

#### Safe Drinking Water Act

- EPA to regulate drinking water by:
  - Establishing National Interim Primary Drinking Water Regulations (NIDWRs)
    - RMCLs Recommended Maximum
      Contaminant Levels
    - MCLs Maximum Contaminant Levels
    - Monitoring requirements
    - Analytical requirements
    - Treatment techniques
  - Revise the interim standards as necessary



#### National Interim Primary DW Regulations (12/24/75, 7/9/76)

- 22 regulated contaminants
- At least one test method promulgated with each regulation to confirm compliance with MCL
- Analytical methods based on existing technical capability
  - 13<sup>th</sup> edition of Standard Methods

### STATES STATES

#### **1986 SDWA Amendments**

- Established regulations for 83 specific contaminants
  - Promulgated methods/treatment techniques with regs.
- 25 additional contaminants to be regulated every 3 years
- Required disinfection for all public water supplies
  - Filtration for most surface water systems
- Developed programs to protect ground water
- Established monitoring requirements for unregulated contaminants (implemented by states)
- Banned lead in distribution systems for new installations
- Specified a "best available technology" for each contaminant

### THE STATES - STATES -

#### **1996 SDWA Amendments**

- Improved on the 1986 SDWA Amendments regulatory framework
  - Contaminant regulation priorities based on
    - Adverse health effects
    - Occurrence
    - Estimated reduction of health risk
    - Cost benefit analysis

### TOTAL PROTECTION

#### **1996 SDWA Amendments**

- Strengthen protection from microbial contaminants and disinfection byproducts
- Established Contaminant Candidate List (CCL) and Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR) to assess occurrence for potential regulation
  - Five-year cycle and need for test methods
  - Engagement with suppliers of certified reference material to ensure availability of analytical reference standards for emerging contaminants
  - Critical collaboration with instrument vendors and laboratories on method development and validation.



#### **Evolution of Regulations and Methods**

- To support regulatory monitoring, an analytical method is EPA approved for use, if an effective technique is available
  - Limited to best available science at the time
  - With the exception of a few parameters (e.g., temperature, pH, turbidity), drinking water compliance samples must be analyzed in a certified lab
    - Incorporate consistent quality control in methods (still an issue with older methods)
  - Technological advancements have allowed measurement at lower levels with greater accuracy and precision

#### **NPDWR – VOCs (1987)**

- Promulgated methods 502.1, 503.1, 524.1, 524.2
  - Purge-and-trap with GC/ECD, GC/PID or GC/MS
  - Manually load purge-and-trap concentrator
  - Typical processing: 32K ROM, 2K RAM
  - Tenax/silica gel sorbent trap
  - Prescriptive parameters



Reference: Teledyne Tekmar

#### **NPDWR – VOCs**



- New methods 524.3, 524.4
  - Purge-and-trap with GC/MS
  - Fully automated operation
  - Flexible parameters for optimized performance
  - Improved moisture control/sorbent traps

Reference: Teledyne Tekmar

#### Separation/Extraction

- SOCs/Pesticides
  - Liquid/liquid extraction (methylene chloride)
  - Kaderna-Danish concentration





10/31/2020

#### Separation/Extraction

- SOCs/Pesticides
  - Newer methods:
    Solid Phase
    Extraction (SPE)
  - Sorbents allow more selective extraction
  - Require less organic solvent



# UNITED STATES

#### Analysis – Gas Chromatography



- Early GCs
  - Manual injection
  - Packed columns
  - Non-specific detectors (TCD, FID)



#### Analysis – Gas Chromatography

- Organics
  - Earliest methods:
    packed columns, nonspecific detectors (e.g. ECD, NPD)
  - Mid-1980's introduced fused silica capillary columns
  - Mid-1990's removed packed column methods



#### Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry

Mass spectrometry offers analytical specificity not attainable with other GC detectors.

Early MS detector systems were large, expensive, required frequent tuning, and more suited to research



10/31/2020



#### Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry

- GC/MS
  - Volatile contaminants
  - Cannot be used for thermally labile compounds
- MS operates under high vacuum
  - Must be able to mitigate water load when coupled to HPLC



### HPLC-MS/MS

- Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR)
  - UCMR 2
    - Acetanilide degradates (EPA Method 535)
  - UCMR 3
    - Perfluorinated Compounds (EPA Method 537)
    - Hormones (EPA Method 539)
  - UCMR 4
    - Cyanotoxins (EPA Methods 544 and 545)

Reference: Mercyhurst University

#### **Metals Analysis**

- Atomic Absorption
  - Single element determination
  - Inexpensive
  - Burner nebulizers are relatively inefficient
    - Sensitivity limited: ppm to ppb levels





### UNITED STATES

#### **Metals Analysis**

- Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP)-Mass Spectrometry
  - Multi-element determination
  - Expensive
  - Faster; wider analytical working range
    - Sensitivity: ppb to ppt levels





#### Turbidity

- Measure of clarity of a liquid
  - Aesthetic quality
  - Pathogens (e.g. Crypto oocysts)
- EPA Method 180.1
  - White light (incandescent) source





#### Turbidity

- New sources
  - Lasers
  - LEDs
- Online, benchtop and field portable



Reference: Hach Company

### THE STATES - COMPANY - COMPANY

#### **On-Line Monitoring/Sensors**

Approved for water quality parameters (disinfectant residuals)

- EPA Method 334.0: Online free/total chlorine analyzers calibration and quality control requirements
- ChloroSense: Amperometric sensors for free/total chlorine
- ChlordioX Plus: Amperometric sensors for chlorine dioxide
- Water quality parameters do not have to be measured in certified labs

#### Compliance parameters?

- Drinking water regulations require analysis in certified labs (40 CFR 141.28)
- How could water system incorporate a TTHM sensor for compliance?

### UNITED STATES - CONSOL

#### **Quality Assurance/Quality Control Status**

- Working to specify QC elements and criteria as part of methods
- Establish consistent Data Quality Objectives across methods
- Identifying how methods compare and contrast across multiple matrices: drinking water and wastewater
- Evaluating how QC impacts laboratory certification decisions

#### **Quality Assurance/Quality Control**

Example:

Revising 900-series Radiochemistry Methods

• Original methods have limited QC

EPA Method 900.0, Rev. 1.0: *Gross Alpha and Gross Beta* 

Published Feb. 2018; approved for DW compliance October 12, 2018 (83 FR 51636)

- Updated for newer instruments with simultaneous alpha/beta counting capability and addresses spillover (crosstalk)
- Details efficiency calibration
- Incorporates QC specifications and criteria 10/31/2020 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

#### **Quality Assurance/Quality Control**

Example:

EPA Methods 150.1 and 150.2 for pH

• Older pH technology

EPA Method 150.3: Determination of pH in Drinking Water

Published February 2017; approved for DW compliance July 27, 2017(82 FR 34861)

- Updated for newer pH technologies (both at bench level and continuous online monitoring)
- Calibration frequency and verification
- Incorporates QC specifications and criteria

# THE PROTECTION

#### Future

How do we continue to evolve?

Alternate Test Procedures (ATP) Program

• Evaluate new technologies

Drinking Water Expedited Methods Approval Process

- SDWA provision: After promulgation of "reference method," "equally effective" alternate methods can be approved in *Federal Register* notice
- Method approval in as little as one year following completion of method evaluation (as opposed to formal notice-and-comment rulemaking)
- Alternate methods found in Appendix A to Subpart C of Part 141
  Revisit status of 'old' methods



#### Summary

- Drinking water compliance methods have evolved as a function of regulatory requirements and technological advancements
  - Technology advancements will provide opportunities to continuously improve monitoring programs.
- Increasing expectation for QC incorporation within methods to enhance data reliability and comparability